

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
Chief, Criminal Division

LLOYD FARNHAM (CABN 202231)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6973
Facsimile: (415) 436-7027
lloyd.farnham@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DARELL POWELL,

Defendant.

) CASE NO. 15-cr-006 WHA

) **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**
) **EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY**
) **TRIAL ACT FROM MARCH 31, 2015 TO**
) **JUNE 8, 2015**

On March 31, 2015, defendant Darell Powell appeared before the Court, and the Court set this case for trial to begin on June 8, 2015. At the hearing, the Court also directed the parties to appear on June 2, 2015 for a pretrial conference. At the request of the parties, the Court agreed that time would be properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between the March 31, 2015 appearance and the trial on June 8, 2015, for the effective preparation of counsel. Therefore, the parties jointly submit this stipulation and proposed order excluding the time between March 31, 2015 and June 8, 2015 in order to

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
CASE NO. 15-CR-006 WHA

1 ensure reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §
2 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance
3 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

4 DATED: April 13, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

5 MELINDA HAAG
6 United States Attorney

7 /s/ Lloyd Farnham
8 LLOYD FARNHAM
Assistant United States Attorney

9
10 OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
11 PUBLIC DEFENDER

12 /s/ Gabriela Bischof
13 GABRIELA BISCHOF
Attorney for Defendant Darell Powell

14
15 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

16 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from March 31, 2015,
17 through and including June 8, 2015, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
18 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
19 The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of
20 counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: April 21, 2015.

24 
HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
25 United States District Court Judge
26
27
28